arXiv:1605.01981v1 [math.CA] 6 May 2016

PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION BUILT BY PRABHAKAR FUNCTION. RELATED TURÁN AND LAGUERRE INEQUALITIES

TIBOR K. POGÁNY AND ŽIVORAD TOMOVSKI

Abstract. Introducing the discrete probability distribution by means of the Prabhakar (or the three–parameter Mittag–Leffler) function, we establish explicit expressions for raw and factorial moments and also general fractional order moments. Applying an elementary moment inequality we obtain functional upper bounds for the Turánian difference for Prabhakar function. Finally, a Laguerre inequality is proved and functional upper bound has been given for Laguerreian difference for Prabhakar function.

1. Introduction

The three–parameter Mittag–Leffler function was introduced by Prabhakar [1] in the form

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(z)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{(\gamma)_k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)}\frac{z^k}{k!},\qquad \min\{\Re(\alpha),\Re(\beta),\Re(\gamma)\}>0;\ z\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{1.1}$$

Here and in what follows

$$(\lambda)_\mu=\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}=\begin{cases}1 & \mu=0;\ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}\\ \lambda(\lambda+1)\cdots(\lambda+n-1) & \mu=n\in\mathbb{N};\ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\end{cases};$$

it being understood conventionally that $(0)_0:=1$, where the latter case stands for the standard familiar Pochhammer symbol (or shifted factorial). For comprehensive treatment of $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(z)$ consult e.g. [2].

For $\gamma=1$, we recover with (1.1) the two–parameter Mittag–Leffler function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ defined by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)},\qquad \Re(\alpha)>0,\beta\in\mathbb{C};\ z\in\mathbb{C}\,.$$

Moreover, the case $\beta=\gamma=1$ yields the classical Mittag–Leffler function

$$E_{\alpha}(z)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)},\qquad \Re(\alpha)>0;\ z\in\mathbb{C}.$$

2. Fractional Poisson process with Prabhakar's three–parameter Mittag–Leffler function

The Mittag-Leffler function appeared as residual waiting time between events in renewal processes already in the sixties of the past century, namely processes with properly scaled thinning out the sequence of events in a power law renewal process. Such a process in essences is a fractional Poisson process [3], [4], [5], [6], [7] which in the recent years has become subject of intensive research. The telegraph process is a finite–velocity one dimensional random motion of which various probabilistic features are known.

The fractional extensions of the telegraph process $\{\mathscr{T}_\alpha(t)\colon t\geq 0\}$, whose changes of direction are related to the fractional Poisson process $\{\mathscr{N}_\alpha(t)\colon t\geq 0\}$ having distribution [3]

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{N}_\alpha(t)=k)=\frac{\lambda^k}{E_\alpha(\lambda t^\alpha)}\frac{t^{\alpha k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)},\qquad k\in\mathbb{N}_0:=\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\};\ t\geq 0.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15; 33E12; 60E05; 62E15.

Key words and phrases. Prabhakar (three–parameter Mittag–Leffler) function; Moments; Turánian difference; Laguerre inequality; Functional bounds; Recurrence identities.

However, we point out that the fractional Poisson process resulting in $\{\mathscr{N}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\colon t\geq 0\}$, defined by the two–parameter Mittag–Leffler function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda t^\alpha)$ was studied by Herrmann [8]. The related distribution reads

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{N}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)=k)=\frac{\lambda^k}{E_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda t^\alpha)}\frac{t^{\alpha k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)},\qquad k\in\mathbb{N}_0;\, t\geq 0,$$

for which the related raw moments are obtained in terms of the Bell polynomials, see [8, p. 5].

Here we introduce a more general fractional Poisson process $\{\mathscr{N}^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\colon t\geq 0\}$ defined by the Prabhakar (or in another words three–parameter Mittag–Leffler) function $E^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda t^\alpha)$ having distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{N}^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(t)=k)=\frac{\lambda^k}{E^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda t^\alpha)}\frac{(\gamma)_k\, t^{\alpha k}}{k!\,\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)},\qquad k\in\mathbb{N}_0;\, t\geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

At this point it is worth to mention that there is a correspondence between the non–homogeneous Poisson process $\{\mathscr{N}(t)\colon t\geq 0\}$ with intensity function $\lambda\alpha t^{\alpha-1}$, that is

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{N}(t)=k)=\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t^\alpha}\,\frac{(\lambda t^\alpha)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)},\qquad \lambda,\alpha>0; k\in\mathbb{N}_0\,,$$

and the Prabhakar–type fractional Poisson process $\{\mathscr{N}^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\colon t\geq 0\}$. This connection is exposed in the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let* $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda\}>0$ *and* $t\geq 0$. *Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{N}^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(t)=k)=\frac{\dfrac{(\gamma)_k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)}\,\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{N}(t)=k)}{\displaystyle\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(\gamma)_n}{\Gamma(\alpha n+\beta)}\,\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{N}(t)=n)},\qquad k\in\mathbb{N}_0\,,$$

where $\mathscr{N}(t)$ *is a non–homogeneous Poisson process with intensity function* $\lambda\alpha t^{\alpha-1}$.

Proof. Rewriting (2.2) into

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{N}^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(t)=k)=\frac{\dfrac{(\gamma)_k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)}\,\dfrac{(\lambda t^\alpha)^k}{k!}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t^\alpha}}{\displaystyle\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(\gamma)_n}{\Gamma(\alpha n+\beta)}\,\frac{(\lambda t^\alpha)^n}{n!}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t^\alpha}}\,,$$

we arrive at the statement. □

In accordance with (2.2) we shall study the probability distribution associated with the Prabhakar function $E^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda t^\alpha)$ letting $\lambda=1$ throughout for the sake of simplicity. So, consider a non–negative random variable X on a standard probability space $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},\mathbb{P})$ having the fractional Poisson–type distribution

$$\mathbb{P}^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(k)=\mathbb{P}(X=k)=\frac{1}{E^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)}\frac{(\gamma)_k\, t^{\alpha k}}{k!\,\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)},\qquad k\in\mathbb{N}_0;\, t\geq 0,$$

where remains $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}>0$. Being $\sum_{k\geq 0}\mathbb{P}^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(k)=1$, the rv X i s well defined. This correspondence we quote in the sequel $X\sim \mathrm{ML}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$.

Let us remind that the factorial moment of the rv X of order $s\in\mathbb{N}$ is given by

$$\Phi_s=\mathbb{E}X(X-1)\cdots(X-s+1)=(-1)^s\,\mathbb{E}(-X)_s=\frac{\mathrm{d}^s}{\mathrm{d}t^s}\left(\mathbb{E}\,t^X\right)\Big|_{t=1},$$

provided the moment generating function $M_X(t)=\mathbb{E}\,t^X$ there exists in some neighborhood of $t=1$ together will all its derivatives up to the order s. By virtue of the Viète–Girard formulae for expanding $X(X-1)\cdots(X-s+1)$ we obtain

$$\Phi_s=\sum_{r=1}^{s}(-1)^{s-r}\,e_r\,\mathbb{E}X^r\,;$$

here e_r represents elementary symmetric polynomials:

$$e_r = e_r(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_r) = \sum_{1 \le \ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_r \le s-1} \ell_1 \cdots \ell_r, \qquad r = \overline{0, s-1}.$$

Theorem 1. *For all* $\min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(\gamma)\} > 0$ *the* s*–th raw moment of the rv* $X \sim \mathrm{ML}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ *reads as follows*

$$\mathbb{E}X^s = \frac{1}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{s} (\gamma)_j \left\{ {s \atop j} \right\} t^{\alpha j}\, E^{\gamma+j}_{\alpha,\alpha j+\beta}(t^\alpha), \qquad s \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ t \ge 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, the s*–th factorial moment*

$$\Phi_s = \frac{1}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)} \sum_{r=1}^{s} (-1)^r\, e_r \sum_{j=0}^{r} (\gamma)_j \left\{ {r \atop j} \right\} t^{\alpha j}\, E^{\gamma+j}_{\alpha,\alpha j+\beta}(t^\alpha)\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Recall the connection between the raw and the factorial moments of a rv:

$$\mathbb{E}X^s = \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j \left\{ {s \atop j} \right\} \mathbb{E}(-X)_j, \qquad \left\{ {s \atop j} \right\} = \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{m=0}^{j} (-1)^{j-m} \binom{j}{m} m^s\,,$$

where the curly braces denote Stirling numbers of the second kind. As to the stated result we need only the direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}X^s &= \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j \left\{ {s \atop j} \right\} \mathbb{E}(-X)_j = \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j \left\{ {s \atop j} \right\} \sum_{k \ge 0} (-k)_j\, \mathbb{P}^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(k) \\
&= \frac{1}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j \left\{ {s \atop j} \right\} \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(-k)_j\, (\gamma)_k\, t^{\alpha k}}{k!\, \Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \\
&= \frac{1}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)\, \Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \left\{ {s \atop j} \right\} t^{\alpha j} \sum_{k \ge j} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + (k-j) + j)\, t^{\alpha(k-j)}}{(k-j)!\, \Gamma(\alpha(k-j) + \alpha j + \beta)} \\
&= \frac{1}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + j)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \left\{ {s \atop j} \right\} t^{\alpha j} \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(\gamma + j)_k\, t^{\alpha k}}{k!\, \Gamma(\alpha k + \alpha j + \beta)}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

which is the statement (2.3). The derivation of (2.4) is now obvious. □

To obtain the fractional order moments we need the so-called extended Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta (HLZ) function introduced by Gupta *et al.* [9] (see also [10, p. 491, Eq. (1.20); p. 503, Eq. (6.2)]) $\Phi^{(\rho,\sigma,\kappa)}_{\lambda,\mu;\nu}(z, s, a)$ is given by

$$\Phi^{(\rho,\sigma,\kappa)}_{\lambda,\mu;\nu}(z, s, a) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{(\lambda)_{\rho n}\, (\mu)_{\sigma n}}{n!\, (\nu)_{\kappa n}}\, \frac{z^n}{(n+a)^s}\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$; $a, \nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$; $\rho, \sigma, \kappa > 0$; $\kappa - \rho - \sigma + 1 > 0$ when $s, z \in \mathbb{C}$; $\kappa - \rho - \sigma = -1$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ when $|z| < \delta = \rho^{-\rho}\sigma^{-\sigma}\kappa^{\kappa}$; while $\kappa - \rho - \sigma = -1$ and $\Re(s + \nu - \lambda - \mu) > 1$ when $|z| = \delta$. Specially by letting $\sigma \to 0$ in (2.5) we arrive at the generalized HLZ function $\Phi^{(\rho,0,\kappa)}_{\lambda,\mu;\nu}(z, s, a) \equiv \Phi^{(\rho,\kappa)}_{\lambda;\nu}(z, s, a)$ which is of importance one for our next result.

Theorem 2. *Let* $X \sim \mathrm{ML}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. *For all* $\min\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} > 0$ *and for all* $s \ge 0$ *we have*

$$\mathbb{E}X^s = \frac{\gamma\, t^\alpha}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)\, \Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}\, \Phi^{(1,\alpha)}_{\gamma+1;\alpha+\beta}(t^\alpha, 1 - s, 1)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. By definition, for all $s > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}X^s = \frac{1}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)} \sum_{n \ge 1} n^s\, \frac{(\gamma)_n\, t^{\alpha n}}{n!\, \Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}\,,$$

since the therm zeroth vanishes. In turn *mutatis mutandis*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}X^s &= \frac{1}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)}\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{n^{s-1}\,(\gamma)_n\,t^{\alpha n}}{(n-1)!\,\Gamma(\alpha n+\beta)}\\ &= \frac{\gamma\,t^\alpha}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(\gamma+1)_n\,t^{\alpha n}}{n!\,\Gamma(\alpha n+\alpha+\beta)\,(n+1)^{1-s}}\\ &= \frac{\gamma\,t^\alpha}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)\,\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}\,\Phi^{(1,\alpha)}_{\gamma+1;\alpha+\beta}(t^\alpha,1-s,1)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now, being $\lambda=\gamma+1,\nu=\alpha+\beta,z=t^\alpha,s\mapsto 1-s,\rho=1,\kappa=\alpha$ and $a=1$, by applying the convergence constraints for $\Phi^{(\rho,\kappa)}_{\lambda;\nu}(z,s,a)$ listed around (2.5), we finish the proof of the assertion. □

Remark 1. *It is worth to mention that Herrmann* [8, p. 5, Eq. (3.10)] *has been derived the raw integer order moments for the two–parameter Mittag–Leffler distributed rv which is covered with our* $Y\sim \mathrm{ML}(\alpha,\beta,1)$ *distribution, in the form*

$$\mathbb{E}Y^n=\frac{1}{E_{\alpha,\beta}(t)}\left(t\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^n E_{\alpha,\beta}(t),\qquad n\in\mathbb{N}_0\,.$$

Recalling that $E^1_{\alpha,\beta}(t)=E_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$*, our relations* (2.3) *and* (2.6) *cover this result. Indeed, taking* $s=1,\gamma=1,t\mapsto t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ *in* (2.3) *we have*

$$\mathbb{E}X=t\,\frac{E^2_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}(t)}{E_{\alpha,\beta}(t)}\,.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\left(E_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\right)'=\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{n\,t^{n-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha n+\beta)}=\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{(2)_{n-1}t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!\,\Gamma(\alpha(n-1)+\alpha+\beta)}=E^2_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}(t)\,,$$

we see that $\mathbb{E}X\equiv\mathbb{E}Y$*.*

Next, by setting $s=1,\gamma=1,t\mapsto t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ *formula* (2.6) *becomes*

$$\mathbb{E}X=t\,\frac{\Phi^{(1,\alpha)}_{2;\alpha+\beta}(t,0,1)}{E_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\,\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}=\frac{t}{E_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\,\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(2)_n t^n}{n!\,(\alpha+\beta)_{\alpha n}}.$$

The rest is obvious. □

Remark 2. *In fact, Theorem 2 is the fractional (in* s*) counterpart of the first claim* (2.3) *of Theorem 1. However, the advantage of preceding is that it consists from a finite* $s+1$*–term linear combination of three parameter Mittag–Leffler functions of Prabhakar type. Specifying now* $s\in\mathbb{N}_0$ *in* (2.6)*, we obtain a new set of summation formulae for a special class of extended HLZ functions* $\Phi^{(1,\alpha)}_{\gamma+1;\alpha+\beta}(t^\alpha,1-s,1)$ *in terms of related Prabhakar function.* □

Corollary 1. *For all* $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}>0$ *and for all* $s\in\mathbb{N}_0$ *we have*

$$\Phi^{(1,\alpha)}_{\gamma+1;\alpha+\beta}(t^\alpha,1-s,1)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\gamma\,t^\alpha}\sum_{j=0}^{s}(\gamma)_j\left\{{s\atop j}\right\}t^{\alpha j}\,E^{\gamma+j}_{\alpha,\alpha j+\beta}(t^\alpha)\,,\qquad t>0.$$

3. Functional upper bounds for a Turánian difference built by Prabhakar function

Our next goal is to derive a Turán type inequality for the Prabhakar function. In this purpose let us introduce the generalized Turánian difference

$$\Delta_T(t)=\left[E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}(t^\alpha)\right]^2-E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^\alpha)\,E^{\gamma+2}_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta}(t^\alpha),\qquad t>0\,,$$

which was built with respect to all three parameters in the initial Mittag–Leffler function $E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha})$. To establish a functional upper bound for $\Delta_T(t)$ we study firstly the first two raw moments, using Theorem 2. The resulting expressions are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}X &= \frac{\gamma\, t^{\alpha}}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha})\,\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}\,\Phi^{(1,\alpha)}_{\gamma+1;\alpha+\beta}(t^{\alpha},0,1)\\ &= \frac{\gamma\, t^{\alpha}\, E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}(t^{\alpha})}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha})} \qquad (3.7)\\ \mathbb{E}X^2 &= \frac{\gamma\, t^{\alpha}}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha})\,\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}\,\Phi^{(1,\alpha)}_{\gamma+1;\alpha+\beta}(t^{\alpha},1-s,1)\\ &= \frac{1}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha})}\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{[(n-1)+1]\,(\gamma)_n\, t^{\alpha(n-1+1)}}{(n-1)!\,\Gamma(\alpha(n-1)+\alpha+\beta)}\\ &= \frac{\gamma\, t^{\alpha}}{E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha})}\left[E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}(t^{\alpha})+(\gamma+1)t^{\alpha}\,E^{\gamma+2}_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta}(t^{\alpha})\right]. \qquad (3.8)\end{aligned}$$

Making use of the basic moment property $\mathbb{E}X^2 \geq (\mathbb{E}X)^2$ valid for all finite second order moment random variables, we deduce:

$$E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha})\,\left[E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}(t^{\alpha})+(\gamma+1)t^{\alpha}\,E^{\gamma+2}_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta}(t^{\alpha})\right] \geq \gamma\, t^{\alpha}\,\left[E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}(t^{\alpha})\right]^2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha})\,\left[E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}(t^{\alpha})+t^{\alpha}\,E^{\gamma+2}_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta}(t^{\alpha})\right] \geq \gamma\, t^{\alpha}\,\Delta_T(t)\,. \qquad (3.9)$$

Lemma 1. *For all* $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}>0$ *we have*

$$E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_0}\,\frac{1}{(1-t^{\alpha})^{\gamma}}\,, \qquad t\in(0,1)\,, \qquad (3.10)$$

where $\Gamma_0 = \min\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}\Gamma(\alpha n+\beta)$.

Moreover, for all $\alpha\geq 1$, $\beta\geq t_0$, $\gamma>0$, *where* $(t_0,\Gamma(t_0))$ *is the unique positive minimum of the* Γ *function, there holds*

$$E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\,{}_1F_1(\gamma;\beta;t^{\alpha})\,, \qquad t>0\,. \qquad (3.11)$$

Here ${}_1F_1(a;b;z)$ *stands for the Kummer's confluent hypergeometric function*

$${}_1F_1(a;b;z) = \sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{(a)_k}{(b)_k}\,\frac{z^k}{k!}\,.$$

Proof. As to the proof of (3.10) we only have to remind that in the right half–plane there is the unique $\min\Gamma(t_0)\approx 0.885603$ at $t_0\approx 1.46163$, say. (Obviously we cannot guarantee that $x_0\in\{\alpha k+\beta\colon k\in\mathbb{N}_0\}$). So

$$E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{\min\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)}\sum_{k\geq 0}(\gamma)_k\frac{t^{\alpha k}}{k!} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_0}\,\frac{1}{(1-t^{\alpha})^{\gamma}}, \qquad t\in(0,1)\,.$$

When $\alpha\geq 1$ and $\beta\geq t_0$, then obviously $\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)\geq\Gamma(\beta)$, $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t^{\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\,\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{(\gamma)_k}{(\beta)_k}\frac{z^k}{k!}\,,$$

which coincides with (3.11). □

Now, combining (3.9), (3.10) and/or (3.11) we arrive at

Theorem 3. *For all* $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}>0$ *and for all* $t\in(0,1)$ *we have*

$$\Delta_T(t)\leq \frac{1}{\gamma\Gamma_0\, t^\alpha(1-t^\alpha)^{2\gamma+1}}\,\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma_1}+\frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma_2\,(1-t^\alpha)}\right],$$

where $\Gamma_p=\min\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}_p}\Gamma(\alpha n+\beta)$, $p=0,1,2$; $\mathbb{N}_q=\{q,q+1,q+2,\cdots\}, q\in\mathbb{N}_0$.

Moreover, for all $\alpha\geq 1$, $\beta\geq t_0$, $\gamma>0$ *and for all* $t>0$ *there holds*

$$\Delta_T(t)\leq \frac{{}_1F_1(\gamma;\beta;t^\alpha)}{\gamma\,\Gamma(\beta)\,t^\alpha}\,\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}\,{}_1F_1(\gamma+1;\alpha+\beta;t^\alpha)\right.$$
$$\left.+\,\frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(2\alpha+\beta)}\,{}_1F_1(\gamma+2;2\alpha+\beta;t^\alpha)\right],$$

where $(t_0,\Gamma(t_0))$ *is the positive minimum of the* Γ*–function.*

4. Toward Laguerre type inequality results

The Laguerreian difference (see e.g. the classical paper [11] or the recent works by Baricz) concerns the subsequent derivatives of certain entire function F of special type. It is

$$\Delta_L^n(t)=[F^{(n)}(t)]^2-F^{(n-1)}(t)\,F^{(n+1)}(t),\qquad n\in\mathbb{N}\,.$$

The estimate $\Delta_L^n(t)\geq 0$, $t\in I\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ we call Laguerre inequality of order n.

In turn, taking $t\mapsto t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ in the Prabhakar type model $X\sim \mathrm{ML}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ we get a transformed random variable X_1, say. Since the characteristic function (CHF) of X_1 reads

$$\varphi_t(x)=\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}xX_1}=\frac{E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma\left(t\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x}\right)}{E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)}\,,\qquad (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}\,,$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{E}X_1=-\mathrm{i}\,\frac{\partial\varphi_t(x)}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0}=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\,E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma\left(t\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x}\right)\Big|_{x=0}$$
$$=\gamma t\,\frac{E_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}^{\gamma+1}(t)}{E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)}=\frac{t}{E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)}\,\left(E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)\right)'\,,\tag{4.12}$$

from which we infer

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\,E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma\left(t\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x}\right)\Big|_{x=0}=\mathrm{i}\,t\,\left(E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)\right)'\,.\tag{4.13}$$

Similarly we have

$$\mathbb{E}X_1^2=-\frac{\partial^2\varphi_t(x)}{\partial x^2}\Big|_{x=0}=-\frac{1}{E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma\left(t\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x}\right)\Big|_{x=0}$$
$$=\frac{1}{E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)}\left\{\gamma t\,E_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}^{\gamma+1}(t)+\gamma(\gamma+1)t^2\,E_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta}^{\gamma+2}(t)\right\}\,,\tag{4.14}$$

and since

$$\left(E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)\right)''=\gamma(\gamma+1)\,E_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta}^{\gamma+2}(t)\,,\tag{4.15}$$

we conclude

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma\left(t\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}x}\right)\Big|_{x=0}+t\,\left(E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)\right)'+t^2\,\left(E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t)\right)''=0\,.\tag{4.16}$$

Now, we are ready to formulate our next set of results.

Theorem 4. *For all* $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}>0$ *and* $t>0$ *there holds the first order Laguerre inequality:*

$$\Delta_L(t)\equiv\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(te^{\mathrm{i}x}\right)\Big|_{x=0}\right]^2-E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\cdot\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(te^{\mathrm{i}x}\right)\Big|_{x=0}\geq 0, \tag{4.17}$$

Moreover, for the Laguerre difference the following functional upper bounds hold

$$\Delta_L(t)\leq\begin{cases}\dfrac{\gamma}{\Gamma_0\Gamma_1\,t(1-t)^{2\gamma+1}} & \min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}>0,\ t\in(0,1)\\[2ex] \dfrac{\gamma\,{}_1F_1(\gamma;\beta;t)\,{}_1F_1(\gamma+1;\alpha+\beta;t)}{t\,\Gamma(\beta)\,\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} & \alpha\geq 1,\ \beta\geq t_0;\ t>0\end{cases}, \tag{4.18}$$

where Γ_0,Γ_1,t_0 *remain unchanged from* Theorem 3.

Proof. By the moment inequality $\mathbb{E}X_1^2\geq\left[\mathbb{E}X_1\right]^2$, (4.12) and (4.14) we deduce the first assertion of the theorem. Next, replacing the partial derivatives in (4.17) with the derivatives in t from (4.13) and (4.16) we immediately get

$$E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\left[\left(E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\right)'+t\,\left(E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\right)''\right]\geq t\,\left[\left(E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\right)'\right]^2, \tag{4.19}$$

or equivalently

$$t\,\Delta_L(t)\leq E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\cdot\left(E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\right)'=\gamma\,E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\cdot E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta}(t)\,.$$

Now, applying the estimates (3.10), (3.11) (having in mind to use $t\mapsto t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$) to the right–hand–side expression respectively, we arrive at the second statement (4.18) of the theorem. □

Remark 3. *We point out that* (3.9) *is in fact equivalent to* (4.19). □

Finally it is worth to mention two recurrence properties of the Prabhakar function's t–derivatives.

Proposition 2. *For all* $\min\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\}>0$ *and* $t>0$ *there hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha\gamma\,t\,E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta+1}(t)&=E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)-\beta\,E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta+1}(t)\\ \alpha^2\gamma(\gamma+1)\,t^2\,E^{\gamma+2}_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta+2}(t)&=E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)-(\alpha+2\beta+1)\,E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta+1}(t)\\ &\quad+(\alpha+\beta+1)(\beta+1)\,E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta+2}(t)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Indeed, by direct calculation we show the identity

$$\alpha\,t\,\left(E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta+1}(t)\right)'=E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)-\beta\,E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta+1}(t).$$

Since $\left(E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta+1}(t)\right)'=\gamma E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta+1}(t)$ (see (4.12)), we have

$$\alpha\gamma\,t\,E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta+1}(t)=E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)-\beta\,E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta+1}(t).$$

Differentiating this equation, previously adapted with $\beta\mapsto\beta+1$ and multiplying with $\alpha t>0$, taking into account (4.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^2\gamma\,t^2\,\left(E^{\gamma+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta+2}(t)\right)'&=\alpha^2\gamma(\gamma+1)\,t^2\,E^{\gamma+2}_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta+2}(t)\\ &=E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)-(\alpha+2\beta+1)\,E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta+1}(t)\\ &\quad+(\alpha+\beta+1)(\beta+1)\,E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta+2}(t)\,,\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the second recurrence. □

Acknowledgement

Živorad Tomovski acknowledges NWO grant 2015/2016, Department of Applied Mathematics, TU Delft.

References

[1] Prabhakar TR. A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel. *Yokohama Math. J.* 1971; 19: 7–15.

[2] Tomovski Ž, Pogány TK, Srivastava HM. Laplace type integral expressions for a certain three-parameter family of generalized Mittag-Leffler functions with applications involving complete monotonicity. *J. Franklin Inst.* 2014; 351(12): 5437–5454.

[3] Beghin L, Orsingher E. Fractional Poisson processes and related random motions. *Electron. J. Probab.* 2009; 14(61): 1790–1826.

[4] Laskin N. Fractional Poisson process. Chaotic transport and complexity in classical and quantum dynamics. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 2003; 8(3–4): 201–213.

[5] Laskin N.Some applications of the fractional Poisson probability distribution. *J. Math. Phys.* 2009; 50(11): Art ID 113513/1–12.

[6] Mainardi F, Gorenflo R, Scalas E. A fractional generalization of the Poisson processes. *Vietnam J. Math.* 2004; Special Issue 32: 53-64.

[7] Politi M, Kaizoji T, Scalas E. Full characterization of the fractional Poisson process. *Europhysics Letters* 2011; 96(2): 20004/1–6.

[8] Herrmann R. Generalization of the fractional Poisson distribution. *arXiv: 1503.07187* [math.ST] 2015.

[9] Gupta PL, Gupta RC, Ong S-H, Srivastava HM. (2008) A class of Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta distributions and their applications in reliability. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2008; 196: 521–532.

[10] Srivastava HM, Saxena RK, Pogány TK, Saxena R. Integral and computational representations of the extended Hurwitz–Lerch zeta function. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 2011; 22(7): 487–506.

[11] Skovgaard H. On inequalities of the Turán type. *Math. Scand.* 1954; 2: 65–73.

Faculty of Maritime Studies, University of Rijeka, Rijeka 51000, Croatia, Applied Mathematics Institute, Óbuda University, 1034 Budapest, Hungary

E-mail address: poganj@pfri.hr

Department of Mathematics, University of Rijeka, Radmile Matejčić 2, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia, (On leave) Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Institute of Mathematics, Saints Cyril and Methodius University, 1000 Skopje, Macedonia